

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/888,315

Applicant(s)

SATO ET AL.

Examiner

Mike Fatahiyar

Art Unit

2674

## All Participants:

(1) Mike Fatahiyar.

(2) Mr. Christopher P. Rauch.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 3 March 2006

Time: 1:30PM

## Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31

Prior art documents discussed:

*Admitted prior art as shown in figure 10 of the present invention.*

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Mr. Rauch agreed to the changes suggested by the examiner and entered by the examiner's amendment.*

## Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)